



STATE OF WASHINGTON
STATE BUILDING CODE COUNCIL

1. State Building Code to be Amended:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> International Building Code | <input type="checkbox"/> International Mechanical Code |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ICC ANSI A117.1 Accessibility Code | <input type="checkbox"/> International Fuel Gas Code |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Existing Building Code | <input type="checkbox"/> NFPA 54 National Fuel Gas Code |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Residential Code | <input type="checkbox"/> NFPA 58 Liquefied Petroleum Gas Code |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Fire Code | <input type="checkbox"/> Wildland Urban Interface Code |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Uniform Plumbing Code | |

For the Washington State Energy Code, please see specialized [energy code forms](#)

Section(s):

2902.2

Title:

Separate Facilities

2. Proponent Name (Specific local government, organization or individual):

Proponent: Jyoti Naik

Title: Architect

Date: 4/17/18

3. Designated Contact Person:

Name: Jyoti Naik

Title: Architect

Address: UW Plant Operations Annex 6, Box 352165, Seattle, WA 98125

Office Phone: (206) 543-0054

Cell: ()

E-Mail address: naikj@uw.edu

4. Proposed Code Amendment. Reproduce the section to be amended by underlining all added language, striking through all deleted language. Insert new sections in the appropriate place in the code in order to continue the established numbering system of the code. If more than one section is proposed for amendment or more than one page is needed for reproducing the affected section of the code additional pages may be attached. (Examples on the SBCC [website](#))

Code(s) IBC 2018 Section(s) 2902.2

Enforceable code language must be used; see an example [by clicking here](#).

Amend section to read as follows:

902.2 Separate facilities. Where plumbing fixtures are required, separate facilities ~~shall~~ may be provided for each sex.

- EXCEPTIONS:
1. Separate facilities shall not be required for *dwelling units* and *sleeping units*.
 2. Separate facilities shall not be required in structures or tenant spaces with a total *occupant load*, including both employees and customers, of 15 or less.
 3. Separate facilities shall not be required in mercantile occupancies in which the maximum occupant load is 100 or less.
 4. Separate facilities shall not be required in spaces primarily used for drinking or dining with a total occupant load, including both employees and customers, of 30 or fewer.

5. Briefly explain your proposed amendment, including the purpose, benefits and problems addressed. Specifically note any impacts or benefits to business, and specify construction types, industries and services that would be affected. Finally, please note any potential impact on enforcement such as special reporting requirements or additional inspections required.

WAC 162-32-060 requires segregated facilities to be available to all genders. Therefore, some institutions are migrating to non-gendered full height restrooms to comply with this requirement as well as to be sensitive to non-gender issues. Making segregation optional is consistent with WAC

6. Specify what criteria this proposal meets. You may select more than one.

- The amendment is needed to address a critical life/safety need.
- The amendment is needed to address a specific state policy or statute.
- The amendment is needed for consistency with state or federal regulations.
- The amendment is needed to address a unique character of the state.
- The amendment corrects errors and omissions.

7. Is there an economic impact: Yes No

Explain: There is a potential cost savings depending on whether the designer opts out, therefore because this modification is optional the actual projected savings from this amendment is indeterminate.

If there is an economic impact, use the tool below to estimate the costs and savings of the proposal on construction practices, users and/or the public, the enforcement community, and operation and maintenance. If preferred, you may submit an alternate cost benefit analysis.

Provide your best estimate of the construction cost (or cost savings) of your code change proposal? (See OFM Life Cycle Cost [Analysis tool](#) and [Instructions](#); use these [Inputs](#). [Webinars on the tool can be found Here and Here](#))

\$Click here to enter text./square foot (For residential projects, also provide \$Click here to enter text./dwelling unit)

Show calculations here, and list sources for costs/savings, or attach backup data pages

List any code enforcement time for additional plan review or inspections that your proposal will require, in hours per permit application:

Please send your completed proposal to: sbcc@des.wa.gov

All questions must be answered to be considered complete. Incomplete proposals will not be accepted.