RE: Washington State Building Codes Review and Adoption Process

All meetings described in the following process are posted on the SBCC web site and announced through GovDelivery and are open to the public. The agendas and summary minutes are posted on the SBCC web site.


New model codes are compared against the previous edition by a Technical Advisory Group (TAG) appointed for a specific code. The TAGs are comprised of a Council member as chair along with appointed volunteers from stakeholder groups. The TAG also reviews the existing code amendments against the new edition. The new editions often incorporate the Washington State amendments to the previous versions. The TAG makes a recommendation addressing which State amendments should be eliminated (because the new edition addresses them) to a standing committee made up of Council members. The standing committee then either accepts the TAG recommendations or modifies them before making recommendations to the full Council. The Council then votes on which amendments will carry forward and then formally requests anyone who has an interest, to submit code change proposals to the model code and amendments being carried forward. At the close of the submittal window, the Council reviews the statewide code change proposals received for completeness and then forwards them to the applicable TAG. The TAG then reviews each proposal, determining whether they will recommend support of the proposal, recommend modifying the proposal and then supporting the result, or recommend rejecting the proposal to the appropriate Council standing committee. The standing committees are the Building, Fire and Plumbing (BFP) or Mechanical, Ventilation and Energy (MVE) Codes Committees. These committees are made up of only Council Members. The Committees take the recommendations of the TAGs and through another round of public comments decide by majority vote whether to recommend passage or denial or modification of the proposed statewide amendments received by the standing committee to the full SBCC. Proposals that are recommended for rulemaking as authorized by the Council are filed with the State Code Reviser and published in the Washington State Register. This filing includes a summary of the proposed rules, the complete text of the proposed changes, public hearing dates and locations, and any economic impact statements required by law. This is a fourth opportunity to voice concerns or support of statewide proposals. (The first is the TAG Review, the second is the Standing Committee review and the third is the Council meeting where what is to move forward into rulemaking is determined.)
Proposals that are disapproved are typically dismissed and not considered further. Occasionally, the Council may refer a proposal back to the TAG for additional modifications. When that happens, generally the original recommendation, if it was not for disapproval, will be filed and the further TAG work will be taken as public testimony. If the proposal was recommended for disapproval, the Council has the choice to either file the original proposal as submitted and consider further TAG work as testimony, or delay the process to consider further TAG recommendations at the next meeting. This could either be through a special council meeting or at the next regularly scheduled meeting.

There is a written public comment period and public hearings, typically held in September and/or October in both Eastern and Western Washington. After the public hearings, the Council holds a work session to review testimony and receive clarification on any issue they feel is necessary. There is often limited public comment and clarification on controversial issues at these work sessions; it is up to the discretion of the Council Chair.