1. State Building Code to be Amended:

- International Building Code
- ICC ANSI A117.1 Accessibility Code
- International Existing Building Code
- International Residential Code
- International Fire Code
- Uniform Plumbing Code
- International Mechanical Code
- International Fuel Gas Code
- NFPA 54 National Fuel Gas Code
- NFPA 58 Liquefied Petroleum Gas Code
- Wildland Urban Interface Code

For the Washington State Energy Code, please see specialized energy code forms.

Section(s):
202 Definitions
1903 Specifications for Tests and Materials

Title: Concrete Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction
(e.g: Footings for wood foundations)

2. Proponent Name (Specific local government, organization or individual):
   Proponent: New Buildings Institute
   Co-Proponents: Carbon Leadership Forum and RMI
   Title: Non profit organization
   Date: April 8, 2022

3. Designated Contact Person:
   Name: Webly Bowles
   Title: Senior Project Manager
   Address: 151 SW 1st, Portland, OR 97204
   Office Phone: (503) 761-7339
   Cell: (503) 999-7520
   E-Mail address: webly@newbuildings.org
4. Proposed Code Amendment. Reproduce the section to be amended by underlining all added language, striking through all deleted language. Insert new sections in the appropriate place in the code in order to continue the established numbering system of the code. If more than one section is proposed for amendment or more than one page is needed for reproducing the affected section of the code, additional pages may be attached.

Clearly state if the proposal modifies an existing amendment or if a new amendment is needed. If the proposal modifies an existing amendment, show the modifications to the existing amendment by underlining all added language and striking through all deleted language. If a new amendment is needed, show the modifications to the model code by underlining all added language and striking through all deleted language.

Code(s) _Washington State Building Code_____ Section(s) __202, 1903

Enforceable code language must be used.

Amend section to read as follows:

Chapter 2 Definitions
Section 202 Definitions

Add new definitions as follows:

**Cementitious material**: materials that have cementing value where used in grout, mortar, or concrete, including but not limited to, Portland cement, blended hydraulic cements, hydraulic expansive cement, raw or calcined clay and natural pozzolan, fly ash, slag cement, and silica fume.

**Concrete**: Mixture of cementitious material, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate and water, with or without admixture.

**Concrete, lightweight**: Concrete containing lightweight aggregate and having an equilibrium density determined by ASTM C567.

**Carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e)**. A measure used to compare the impact of various greenhouse gases based on their global warming potential (GWP). CO2e approximates the time-integrated warming effect of a unit mass of a given greenhouse gas relative to that of carbon dioxide (CO2).

**Global warming potential (GWP)**. An index for estimating the relative global warming contribution of atmospheric emissions of 1 kg of a particular greenhouse gas compared to emissions of 1 kg of CO2. The following GWP values are used based on a 100-year time horizon: 1 for CO2, 25 for methane (CH4), and 298 for nitrous oxide (N2O).

Chapter 19 Concrete

Section 1901 General
Add new section as follows:

1901.8 **Emboied Co2e**. Documentation of CO2e in concrete materials. All concrete mixes used in the building project’s primary structural frame, lateral force-resisting system, and foundations shall comply with Section 1901.8.1.

**Exceptions**:

1. Precast, shotcrete, or auger cast concrete.
2. Projects under 50,000 square feet.
3. Projects where the total volume of concrete is less than 50 cubic yards.
4. Concrete with product strengths for which the nearest supplier with a cradle to gate Type III product-specific EPD is located more than 100 miles from the project site.

1901.8.1 Documentation of CO2e. All Confirmation of a product’s Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs) for 75% of products used in the building’s primary structural frame, lateral force-resisting system, and foundations, based on product cost. Confirmation of the product’s EPDs shall be provided to the AHJ prior to certificate of occupancy.

1901.8.1 Requirements for EPD. CO2e content shall be documented for each 75% of the concrete mixes must have by a product-specific cradle-to-gate Type III EPD complying with the goal and scope for the cradle-to-gate requirements in accordance with ISO Standards 14025 and 21930 and be available in a publicly accessible database.

Exception: Where Type III industry-wide EPDs are allowed in Section 1903.5.

Section 1903 Specifications for Tests and Materials
Add new section as follows:

1903.5 Embodied CO2e of concrete materials. Concrete products used in the building shall comply with Sections 1903.5.1 or 1903.5.2.

Exceptions:
— Precast, shotcrete, or auger cast concrete.
— Projects under 50,000 square feet.
3) Projects where the total volume of concrete is less than 50 cubic yards.
4) Concrete with product strengths for which the nearest supplier with a cradle to gate Type III product-specific EPD is located more than 100 miles from the project site.

1903.5.1 CO2e Limit Method – Mixture. The maximum CO2e of the individual concrete mixes used in the building shall not exceed the values specified in Table 1903.5.1 based on the compressive strength of an individual mix.

Table 1903.5.1 CO2e Limits in Concrete Mixtures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procured compressive strength $f_{cm}$, psi</th>
<th>Maximum kg/m$^3$ (SI)</th>
<th>High-early-strength Maximum kg/m$^3$ (SI)*</th>
<th>Lightweight-concrete Maximum kg/m$^3$ (SI)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>up to 2499</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500-3499</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3500-4499</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4500-5499</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5500-6499</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6500 and higher</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: High early strength concrete achieves a compressive strength could achieve structural concrete quality within 24 hours to seven days.
b: Lightweight concrete contains lightweight aggregate and has an equilibrium density determined by ASTM C567.
**1903.5.2 CO2e Limit Method – Project.** Total CO2e ($CO2e_{proj}$) of all concrete placed at the building project shall not exceed the project limit ($CO2e_{max}$) determined using Table 1903.5.1 and Equation 1903.5.2.

**Equation 1903.5.2**

\[ CO2e_{proj} < CO2e_{max} \]

where: $CO2e_{proj} = \sum CO2E_{n} \cdot v_{n}$ and $CO2e_{max} = \sum CO2E_{lim} \cdot v_{n}$

and

$n =$ the total number of concrete mixtures for the project

$CO2E_{n} =$ the global warming potential for mixture $n$ per mixture EPD, kg/m3

$CO2E_{lim} =$ the global warming potential limit for mixture $n$ per Table 1903.5, kg/m3

$v_{n} =$ the volume of mixture $n$ concrete to be placed
6. Briefly explain your proposed amendment, including the purpose, benefits and problems addressed. Specifically note any impacts or benefits to business, and specify construction types, industries and services that would be affected. Finally, please note any potential impact on enforcement such as special reporting requirements or additional inspections required.

Summary:
This code change proposal will support Washington's climate goals. Washington has been a leader in sustainable building practices, including recent legislation to study the procurement of low carbon building materials in state projects. This proposal uses existing policy mechanisms to safeguard the public from the hazards associated with the creation of building materials and supports state GHG emission reduction goals. This proposal requires all 75% of concrete mixes to meet specific global warming potential (GWP) limits and document compliance through have environmental product declarations (EPD).

Problem:
Building operations and building construction are responsible for 39% of today's global carbon emissions. About 11% of these emissions are embodied carbon emissions, the emissions associated with the creation of building materials and construction activities. Unlike operational emissions, which can be improved over the lifespan of a building through deep-energy retrofits and the decarbonization of the electric grid, embodied carbon emissions occur before a building is occupied and cannot be reduced over time. Therefore, addressing embodied carbon in the construction of buildings presents an urgent and valuable opportunity to reduce carbon emissions in Washington.

As the Washington energy code continues to improve building energy efficiency and the grid energy becomes cleaner, operational carbon emissions will be reduced, and embodied carbon will become a larger part of a building’s total carbon emissions. The materials chapters of the IBC have been in place and used by the design and construction industry to ensure that building materials in the built environment preserve public health and safety.

This proposal looks to expand the impact of the IBC to further safeguard the public from the hazards associated with the creation of building materials. The approach presented within this code change proposal, EPD reporting and GWP targets for the highest embodied carbon and most used construction products, supports a path toward a decarbonized built environment. The proposed language will encourage the worst 10% of steel product manufacturers to reduce the carbon content of their materials to be more competitive in the marketplace disclosure and provide consumer choice on important environmental aspects of products.

Aligned State Policy:
In 2019, Washington ranked fifth in the U.S. for commercial construction growth at $8.5 billion. Building permits grew 7.6% from 2014 to 2019 and are expected to continue to grow across the state, purchasing billions in high carbon construction products.

To meet state GHG goals, both operational and embodied carbon should be addressed, as stated in the State 2021 Energy Strategy. Washington legislators know the vital role that embodied carbon plays in a carbon neutral future because they have reviewed the facts. In 2018, 2021, and 2022, Buy clean policies were introduced in the Washington legislature. Those same years, Governor Jay Inslee signed executive orders and announced activities surrounding low carbon construction for state projects.

Additionally, in 2021, the WA legislature funded two provisos to support the creation of a Buy Clean Buy Fair Reporting Database. Cities and counties are also introducing policies and programs to reduce embodied

---

carbon, such as the King County Climate Action Plan and the City of Seattle Green Building Incentive Program, which both include requirements related to embodied carbon.

The Opportunity:
Concrete is one of the most widely used materials in building construction and a primary contributor to embodied carbon in buildings. A recent case study analysis by RMI shows that simply by specifying concrete products with lower CO2e content, a commercial construction project's embodied carbon can be reduced up to 33%.\(^2\)

To build a building, construction professionals buy concrete (which contains cement used with water as a binder to adhere particles of sand and rock, known as aggregate) from a ready-mix supplier. Although each of concrete's constituent materials offers opportunities for reductions in embodied carbon, the high carbon concrete is primarily driven by the manufacture of one key ingredient—ordinary Portland cement. Portland cement is the most common cementitious binder used in concrete mixtures in the U.S., and the U.S. cement industry is one of the most significant contributors to U.S.-borne emissions at 68.3 million metric tons (MMT) of CO2 eq. per year.\(^3\) The building construction industry's demand for concrete accounts for an estimated 51% of total Portland cement produced in the U.S.\(^4\)

GWP Value Methodology:
GWP is the most common metric for measuring and evaluating materials' greenhouse gas emissions over a product or building's lifecycle. In addition, third-party rating systems like LEED, and procurements policies like the U.S. General Services Administration's (GSA) Recommendations for Procurement of Low Embodied Carbon Materials\(^5\) have put a demand on building product manufacturers to disclose the environmental impacts of their products.

The proponent collected the publicly available concrete EPDs to analyze what GWP limits would support the design and construction industry's awareness of embodied carbon and indicate what percentage of construction material suppliers could comply with the values proposed. The values presented encourage the worst 25% performing ready mixed concrete mixes to reduce the carbon content of their materials to be more competitive in the market.

The table below illustrates the Washington ready-mixed concrete EPDs studied. This table illustrates that the most popular concrete strengths (3500-6500 psi) have the largest number of EPDs compared to the other categories. However, not all strength categories provide the data sufficiency needed to confidently use Washington-specific concrete EPDs. Therefore, the proponent compared the Washington concrete GWP values against the group of national EPDs at the 75\(^{th}\) percentile. Washington values are, on average, 8% lower than the national values. This is in line with what is known about the high quality of concrete aggregates in Washington.\(^6\) Because the Washington concrete EPD dataset includes a limited number of up to 2499 psi EPDs, the national 75\(^{th}\) percentile GWP value was substituted in its place, providing a more conservative GWP value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Washington State EPDs – kg-Co2e/cubic meter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


\(^6\) *A Brief History of Long-Life WSDOT Concrete Pavements*, Washington State Department of Transportation, 2010.
GSA followed a similar methodology to develop their Low Embodied Carbon Concrete specification. The difference between these two specifications is that the GWP limits for concrete in the GSA spec are about 12% higher.²

**Reporting:**

Anticipated reporting requirements might include a stamped and signed letter from a licensed design professional and summary table of concrete mix EPDs. AHJ may request that a plan for reporting material GHG emissions be provided at the time of permit. This proposal will have a limited impact on the plan review or inspection process.

Design, construction, and product manufacturers will need to work together to achieve collect and retain EPDs and report the embodied carbon results. Designers will include include the reporting the concrete mix global warming potential (GWP) requirements in the project specifications and review the cutsheets as contractors select and provide submittals for the designers to review. Contractors will work with concrete suppliers to confirm EPDs for identify concrete mixes products that meet the GWP values. With Washington has over 1,600 EPDs available from Washington concrete providers, many of which meet the GWP limits proposed within and these are growing everyday.

7. **Specify what criteria this proposal meets.** You may select more than one.

- [x] The amendment is needed to address a critical life/safety need.
- [ ] The amendment clarifies the intent or application of the code.
- [ ] The amendment is needed to address a specific state policy or statute.
- [x] The amendment is needed for consistency with state or federal regulations.
- [ ] The amendment is needed to address a unique character of the state.
- [ ] The amendment corrects errors and omissions.

8. **Is there an economic impact:**  

   - [ ] Yes  
   - [x] No

   If no, state reason:

   The impact of the embodied carbon considerations in code to project teams has been shown to be cost-neutral when the requirements are specified and administered efficiently.

   At the project level, collecting and tracking structural steel EPDs adds minimal time to include the requirements in the project specifications, product procurement product, and submittal review process. Product specifications are part of any architectural project and collecting complying documentation is part of the process.

   CLF has conducted research to show that when tracking and reducing product GWP values for an office town in Bellevue, Washington, the project was able to reduce the embodied carbon of structural steel, compared to the baseline at no additional cost. Through collecting EPDs from suppliers and tracking their EPDs and reductions, the team was able to measure and achieve the a 10-35% GWP reduction in the ready mixed concrete for no additional cost.

---

² Low Embodied Carbon Concrete Standards for all GSA Projects, U.S. General Services Administration, 2021.
GWP limits for concrete mixes were set by evaluating national EPDs and their GWP values; data available for concrete regional suppliers indicate that the local market outperforms this national average and is well-positioned to meet the code criteria. The optimizations needed to produce compliant concrete mixes can be achieved primarily by reducing cement in concrete mixes, through strategies like high-performance aggregate selection or cement substitution. These interventions can be made without a cost impact on the individual project if the criteria are effectively communicated to ready-mixed suppliers. Low embodied carbon concrete does not require onerous changes to upstream industrial processes.

To comply with the code, small product manufacturers and/or suppliers will see a small financial impact from developing EPDs for their products. A study by Energy Transitions Commission showed that the company pass-through cost to the individual projects to create the initial $5-30K EPD is negligible.\(^8\)

If yes, provide economic impact, costs and benefits as noted below in items a – f.

a. **Life Cycle Cost.** Use the OFM Life Cycle Cost [Analysis tool](#) to estimate the life cycle cost of the proposal using one or more typical examples. Reference these [Instructions](#); use these [Inputs](#). Webinars on the tool can be found [Here](#) and [Here](#). If the tool is used, submit a copy of the excel file with your proposal submission. If preferred, you may submit an alternate life cycle cost analysis.

b. **Construction Cost.** Provide your best estimate of the construction cost (or cost savings) of your code change proposal.

\[
\text{Click here to enter text}/\text{square foot}
\]

(For residential projects, also provide \text{Click here to enter text}/\text{dwelling unit})

Show calculations here, and list sources for costs/savings, or attach backup data pages

c. **Code Enforcement.** List any code enforcement time for additional plan review or inspections that your proposal will require, in hours per permit application:

d. **Small Business Impact.** Describe economic impacts to small businesses:

e. **Housing Affordability.** Describe economic impacts on housing affordability:

f. **Other.** Describe other qualitative cost and benefits to owners, to occupants, to the public, to the environment, and to other stakeholders that have not yet been discussed:

Please send your completed proposal to: \text{sbcc@des.wa.gov}

All questions must be answered to be considered complete. Incomplete proposals will not be accepted.