



STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE BUILDING CODE COUNCIL

1500 Jefferson • P.O. Box 41449 • Olympia, Washington 98504-1449
(360) 407-9277 • e-mail sbcc@des.wa.gov • www.sbcc.wa.gov

Residential Code Technical Advisory Group Summary Minutes for May 9, 2022

TAG Members Present: Micah Chappell, TAG Chair; Darrin Graham; Annie O'Rourke; Ron Perkerewicz; Todd Short; Quyen Thai; Michelle Yee

Staff: Stoyan Bumbalov, Managing Director; Krista Braaksma; Annette Haworth

Visitors Present: Representative Bateman, Katya Bautista, Sue Coffman, Erin Connor, Thad Curtz, Amber Dowling, Adam Hutchinson, Suzanne Mayr, Sheri Newbold, Avaly Scarpelli, Katy Sheehan, Ray Shipman, Ron Shughart, Jon Siu, Andrea Smith, Tammie Sueirro

Agenda Items	TAG Actions/Discussion
1. Welcome and Introductions	The meeting was called to order at 9:02 a.m. by Micah Chappell, TAG Chair. Roll was called, and introductions were made. A quorum of the TAG was present.
2. Review and Approve Agenda	The agenda was approved as written.
3. Review and Approve Summary Minutes	The summary minutes from February 14, 2022, were approved as written.
4. Review 2021 IRC Code Change Proposals	Stoyan Bumbalov, Managing Director, noted proposal 062 was relocated to the IMC TAG. The agenda indicated proposals 026 and 091 will be reviewed at the May 23 meeting. Ron Perkerewicz requested proposal 093 be moved up in line for discussion.
21-GP2-003	The proponent, Sheri Newbold, introduced the proposal. There were clarifying questions, comments, and discussion regarding the proposal. The proponent provided feedback from the comments and questions. There was concern mentioned of this proposal overlapping codes – IRC and IBC. It was questioned if this proposal would fit better in the IBC. The proponent suggested modifying the proposal and bringing back to the June 6 TAG meeting. There was consensus from the TAG members.
21-GP2-093	The proponent was not present to introduce the proposal or answer questions.

	<p>Ron Perkerewicz spoke in opposition to the proposal and requested a better cost benefit analysis due to the proposal indicated no economic impact.</p> <p>Clarifying questions were posed for the proponent, in hopes she listens to the recording:</p> <p>Scope: 2) Structure built 90 years ago...Why 90, where does that come from and why not 75 years?</p> <p>Exceptions: 1) Structure is determined to be unsafe...who makes that determination? Building Official or Engineer?</p> <p>Exceptions: 3) determines that 50%, by weight...not sure how that is going to be enforced or even do?</p> <p>Comments and recommendations were made.</p>
21-GP2-041	<p>The proponent, Quyen Thai, introduced the proposal. There was discussion, some clarifying questions and responses, comments, recommendations were made as this proposal was compared to the Seattle's version of the proposal.</p> <p>It was moved, seconded, and approved to move the proposal, as modified, to the BFP Committee for review.</p>
21-GP2-042	<p>The proponent, Quyen Thai, introduced the proposal. There was a clarifying question and a response from the proponent. The economic impact was reviewed for clarification of the explanation in the proposal.</p> <p>It was moved, seconded, and approved to move the proposal, as modified, to the BFP Committee for review.</p>
21-GP2-043	<p>The proponent, Quyen Thai, introduced the proposal. Discussion was held, comments made, and some clarifying questions asked with responses from the proponent provided.</p> <p>Recommendations and comments were noted by the proponent, and he agreed to make modifications and bring the modified proposal back to the TAG for review at the next meeting.</p>
21-GP2-044	<p>The proponent, Quyen Thai, introduced the proposal. There was discussion held, some clarifying questions asked with responses from the proponent, and comments were made.</p> <p>It was moved, seconded, and approved to move the proposal, as modified, to the BFP Committee for review.</p>
21-GP2-099	<p>The proponent, Jon Siu, introduced the proposal. There was an editorial change made. Further explanation was provided.</p>

	<p>Clarifying questions were asked and answered and comments made.</p> <p>The proponent indicated modifications were going to be made to the proposal and brought back to the June 6 TAG meeting.</p>
21-GP2-053	<p>The proponent, Sue Coffman, introduced the proposal. A clarifying question was asked and answered by the proponent.</p> <p>Due to time constraints, this proposal will be discussed at the next TAG meeting.</p>
5. Other Business	No other business was discussed.
6. Adjourn	The meeting was adjourned at 12:05 p.m.