

STATE BUILDING CODE COUNCIL

1500 Jefferson Street SE • P.O. Box 41449 • Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 407-9277 • e-mail sbcc@des.wa.gov • www.sbcc.wa.gov

STATE BUILDING CODE COUNCIL IFC TAG MEETING SUMMARY MEETING MINUTES

LOCATION: The meeting was held via Zoom and teleconference

MEETING DATE: Tuesday, October 1, 2024

<u>Members in Attendance</u>: TAG Chair Dan Young, Ken Brouillette, Ricky Campbell, Walker Donuji, Seth Henderson, Dave Kokot, Jacob Liddicoat, William Maibusch, Samir Mokashi, David Mow, Hugo Sotelo, Quyen Thai, Zachary Tuck

<u>Members Absent</u>: TAG Chair Roger Heeringa, Ning Wang, Ray Shipman, mike Nykreim, Sean Morgan, Kevin Marr, Lance Dahl

Staff In Attendance: Managing Director Dustin Curb, Annette Haworth

Visitors Present: Patrick Hanks, Elizabeth Torske

Agenda Items		TAG Discussion
1. Welcome	Welcome and Introductions	The meeting was called to order at 2:01 p.m. by TAG Chair Dan Young.
		Roll call was taken, and quorum was present.
		Members of the public were given the opportunity to introduce themselves.
2. Review a	Review and Approve Agenda	SBCC Staff presented the agenda.
		Motion: Dave Kokot moved to approve the agenda. Ricky Campbell second. Motion passed.
3. Review a	eview and Approve Minutes • 9/24/2024	Staff presented the minutes for September 24 th .
• 9/2		Motion: Ricky Campbell moved to approve both minutes. Hugo Sotelo second. Motion passed.
_	Public Comment on Items Not on the Agenda	Members of the public were given the opportunity to discuss items not listed on the agenda.
		Patrick Hanks, BIAW, spoke to SB 6291 and RCW 19.27.032 Section 2 and Section 3, stating that any person or entity may submit to Council a petition in writing for statewide amendments within the time periods established by the Council. The petition must comply with the format and content requirements approved by the Council. Incomplete petitions will not be considered by the Council. RCW

This material in alternative formats including braille, large print, and audio recording is available on request by emailing the SBCC at sbcc@des.wa.gov.

	19.27.033, Section 3, stating petitions for amendments referred to Technical Advisory Groups must be approved by majority of the Technical Advisory Group voting members to be taken up for consideration by the SBCC. During the previous TAG Meeting, staff stated that proposals were not considered petitions and a majority vote is not required for the Committees or Council to consider a proposal. BIAW disagrees with this as the law uses proposal and petition to
	refer to the same process for statewide amendments.
5. IFC TAG Proposal <u>24-GP1-008</u> : 104.2.3 Alternative Materials, Design, and	Managing Director, Dustin Curb, presented IFC TAG Proposal 24- <u>GP1-008</u> : 104.2.3 Alternative Materials, Design and Methods of Construction and Equipment.
Methods of Construction and Equipment	Patrick Hanks stated that under item number 5, more information is needed to satisfy the requirements of the form. Ken Brouillette explained that the statement is straightforward, and no other explanation is needed. Patrick Hanks explained BIAW's reasoning which includes more information will help when answering questions from their members.
	TAG Members and the public discussed what is the best process for moving the Code Petition forward as there were confusion as to how the motioning process was to be conducted. Code proposal with recommended modifications must be published for at least 48 hours before the TAG can vote.
	Motion: Dave Kokot moved to accept the proposal as presented. Quyen Thai second.
	Discussion was held by the TAG. Dustin Curb made editorial changes. The editorial changes were accepted as a friendly Amendment by Dave Kokot and Quyen Thai second.
	Voice vote was taken, and motion passed.
6. BIAW Proposal <u>24-GP1-069</u> : 104.2.4 Modifications	Dustin Curb presented BIAW Proposal <u>24-GP1-069</u> : 104.2.4 <i>Modifications</i> .
	Patrick Hanks, BIAW, explained changes to 104.2.4. Ken Brouillette explained that the economic impact statement is incorrect as removal of this will increase cost. Multiple TAG Members agreed with Ken Brouillette's assessment.
	Quyen Thai agreed with Ken Brouillette but asked Patrick Hanks if it was his intention to remove charging language from 104.2.4, as the charging language does reference these sections but was not reflected in this petition. Patrick Hanks explained that the concern in the code language was that it had no restrictions on how it could be enforced, how long it could last, and that there could potentially be some unequal application. Patrick Hanks does not have a problem re-drafting his petition to address the TAG Members concerns.
	Samir Mokashi asked Patrick Hanks if he had experience problems where fire marshals have abused the authority? Patrick Hanks

		explained that this as to preempt any possible issues but is unaware of any abuse.
		After discussion, Ken Brouillette moved to not adopt the petition but after discussion, withdrew the motion.
		The proponent withdrew their proposal after hearing members of the TAG discussion. Members of the TAG believed it would be in the proponent's best interest if the petition was revised.
		Motion: Hugo Sotelo moved for the proponent to revise the proposal 24-GP1-069 which can be reviewed at a later time. Walker Donuji second. Voice vote was taken, and motion passed.
		Dustin Curb confirmed with Patrick Hanks that the revised petition would be ready for the October 15 th , TAG Meeting.
7.	IFC TAG Proposal <u>24-GP1-</u> <u>006</u> & <u>24-GP1-027</u> : 105.5.56	Dustin Curb presented IFC TAG Petition <u>24-GP1-006</u> & <u>24-GP1-027</u> : 105.5.56 Temporary Heating or Cooking in Wildfire Risk Areas.
(Temporary Heating or Cooking in Wildfire Risk Areas	Ken Brouillette withdrew petition 24-GP1-006 because it was duplicate to 24-GP1-027.
		Ken Brouillette explained the proposal justification for 24-GP1-027.
		Motion: Walker Donuji moved to recommend adoption to the Council. Quyen Thai second. Voice vote was taken, and motion passed.
8.	Ken Brouillette Proposal <u>24-</u> <u>GP1-001</u> : 202 General	Dustin Curb presented Ken Brouillette's proposal <u>24-GP1-001</u> : 202 General Definitions.
	Definitions	Ken Brouillette explained that the proposal offers clearer definitions which are referenced in the NFPA 2027. Quyen Thai asked if this will be in the 2027 IFC Code which Ken confirmed—see ICC Proposal F11-24.
		Motion: Walker Donuji moved to recommend adoption to the Council. Hugo Sotelo second.
		Quyen Thai stated the ICC Proposal F11-24 as it is only for definitions. There is no reference to it in any other sections of the IFC and believes it is not needed as it is not being used elsewhere. He will be a "no vote" on this.
		Ken Brouillette explained that the IFC currently has definitions on lithium-ion battery, but the petition is clarifying those definitions. Multiple TAG Members discussed and agreed that clarification of the definitions.
		Voice vote was taken, and majority of the TAG agreed with one opposed. Motion passed.
		Dustin Curb confirmed the TAG member's reason for their opposition.
9.	IFC TAG Proposal <u>24-GP1-</u> <u>012</u> : 307.4.2 Recreational Fires	Dustin Curb presented IFC TAG Proposal <u>24-GP1-012</u> : 307.4.2 Recreational Fires.

	Patrick Hanks, BIAW, believes section #5 could use more language. Dave Kokot stated that section #7 has enough information to support section #5.
	Dustin Curb explained that when the TAG vetted this at a previous meeting it was agreed to develop a proposal that adds the metric measurement to the WAC language without changing the state amendment.
	Motion: Samir Mokashi moved to recommend adoption of Proposal 24-GP1-012 to the Council. Hugo Sotelo second. Voice vote was taken, and motion passed.
10. Ken Brouillette Proposal 24-	This petition was tabled and revisited after Agenda Item 12c.
GP1-041: 320.4 Storage Requirements	Ken Brouillette explained that Proposal <u>24-GP1-041</u> : 320.4 Storage Requirements will need modifications. Ken stated that 322.4 should be stricken from the WAC since language in 320.4 is exact the same as the 322.4. The TAG and Dustin discussed ways to modify the petition.
	Motion: Hugo Sotelo moved to modify Proposal <u>24-GP1-041</u> that will be heard at the October 8 th meeting. Walker Donuji second. Vote was taken, and motion passed.
11. Ken Brouillette Proposal <u>24-</u> <u>GP1-042</u> : 320.3 Outdoor Storage	This petition was tabled.
12. Additional Proposal for Review, Time Permitting: a) IFC TAG Proposal 24- GP1-013: 403.11.3 Crowd Managers b) IFC TAG Proposal 24- GP1-014: 406.1 General c) IFC TAG Proposal 24- GP1-083: 503 Fire Apparatus Access Roads. d) IFC TAG Proposal 24- GP1-084: 510 Emergency Responder Communications Enhancement Systems	A- Walker Donuji explained Proposal <u>24-GP1-013</u> : 403.11.3 Crowd Managers to include use of model code language. TAG Members held discussion. Motion: Walker Donuji moved to recommend adoption of Proposal Petition <u>24-GP1-013</u> to the Council. Hugo Sotelo second. Voice vote was taken, and motion passed. B-Dustin Curb read Dave Kokot's explanation to Proposal <u>24-GP1-014</u> : 406.1 General which adds clarifying language to be consistent with Section 403. Motion: Samir Mokashi moved to recommend adoption of Proposal <u>24-GP1-014</u> to the Council. Walked Donuji second. Voice vote was taken, and motion passed. C- Ricky Campbell explained Petition <u>24-GP1-083</u> : 503 Fire Apparatus Access Roads stating that it is reflecting the WAC. TAG held brief discussion. Motion: Walker Donuji moved to modify Proposal <u>24-GP1-084</u> by adding "standard and ordinances" at the end and will be heard at the October 8 th TAG Meeting. Quyen Thai second. Voice vote was taken, and motion passed.
13. Proposals/Meeting Scheduling moving Forward	Dustin Curb explained that TAG members should have received meeting place holders, agenda will be sent out a week prior to the meeting, and proponents will be notified that their proposal is intended to be heard at the next meeting. Dustin also explained that

	after each meeting, staff will send an updated spreadsheet to the TAG.
14. Other Business	Due to time constraints, this item was not addressed.
15. Adjourn	Meeting adjourned at 4:01 p.m.