

STATE BUILDING CODE COUNCIL

1.	State Building Code to be Amended:	
		☐ International Mechanical Code
	☐ ICC ANSI A117.1 Accessibility Code	☐ International Fuel Gas Code
	☐ International Existing Building Code	☐ NFPA 54 National Fuel Gas Code
	☐ International Residential Code	☐ NFPA 58 Liquefied Petroleum Gas Code
	☐ International Fire Code	☐ Wildland Urban Interface Code
	☐ Uniform Plumbing Code	For the Washington State Energy Code, please see specialized energy code forms
	Section(s): New Appendix Q	
	Title: Embodied Greenhouse Gas Emissions R	eporting and Reduction
2.	Proponent Name (Specific local government, org Proponent: New Buildings Institute	ganization or individual):

September 19, 2024, updated November 15, 2024, updated November 26, 2024

3. Designated Contact Person:

Title:

Date:

Name: Ariel Brenner

Title: Senior Policy Analyst

Address: 151 SW 1st, Portland, OR 97204

Non-profit organization

Office Phone: (503) 761-7339

Cell: (818) 298-2441

E-Mail address: ariel@newbuildings.org

4. Proposed Code Amendment:

Code(s): Washington State Building Code Section(s): New Appendix Q

Add new Appendix as follows:

Appendix Q Embodied Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reporting and Reduction

The provisions contained in this appendix are not mandatory unless specifically referenced in the adopting ordinance.

User note:

About this appendix: The purpose of Appendix Q is to establish methods to measure and reduce the embodied carbon impact of building materials over the course of a building's life. Appendix Q provides criteria for the production and submission of environmental product declarations, whole building life cycle assessment, and proof of building reuse for a building project.

Section Q101

General

Q101.1 Scope. The provisions of this appendix promote methods to measure and to reduce the environmental impact of building materials over the course of a building's life.

Section Q102

Definitions

<u>Section Q102.1 General.</u> The following words and terms shall, for the purposes of this appendix, have the meanings shown herein. Refer to Chapter 2 of this code for general definitions.

Embodied carbon. The sum of greenhouse gas emissions associated with extraction, production, transport, and manufacturing of a product through the product's life, typically reported in kg CO₂e/unit, using life cycle assessment.

Environmental product declaration (EPD). A type III, third-party verified report providing information about the environmental performance or impact of a product or material. An EPD reports at least the production stage, covering the cradle-to-gate phase or life cycle modules A1 through A3.

Global warming potential (GWP). The metric for tracking *Embodied Carbon*, which is reported in kg CO₂e/unit. GWP normalizes different gases associated with a product to an equivalent mass of carbon dioxide over a period of 100 years.

<u>Industry-average EPD.</u> An *EPD* that reports the impacts of a product which is an average of data provided by multiple manufacturers in a clearly defined sector and/or geographical area.

Product-specific EPD. A type III *EPD* that represents the impacts of a single product.

Work area. That portion or portions of a building consisting of all reconfigured spaces as indicated on the construction documents. *Work area* excludes other portions of the building where incidental work entailed by the intended work must be performed and portions of the building where work not initially intended by the owner is specifically required by this code.

Section Q103

Documentation of reduction of Embodied Carbon

Section Q103.1 Reduction of Embodied Carbon. The construction, addition, alteration, or substantial improvement that impacts a work area of [INSERT 50,000 OR 100,000] square feet or larger shall comply with one of the following pathways:

- 1. Building reuse pathway. The building reuse pathway requires compliance with Section Q103.2.
- 2. Product compliance pathway. The product compliance pathway requires compliance with Section Q103.3.
- 3. Whole building compliance pathway. The whole building compliance pathway requires compliance with Section Q103.4.

Q103.2 Building reuse pathway. An addition, alteration, or substantial improvement where the total work area is [INSERT 50,000 OR 100,000] gross square feet or larger shall include retaining no less than 45 percent combined of the existing building's primary structural frame and exterior wall envelope as part of the entire work area. This calculation includes plan area for roof and floor structure, including slab on grade, and façade area as measured in elevation. Façade area can be considered preserved even if existing exterior wall covering material is repaired or replaced or it is modified to increase insulation or airtightness. Portions of buildings deemed structurally unsound or hazardous, and hazardous materials that are remediated as part of the project, are excluded from the calculation. Any combined addition(s) to existing building(s) that is more than two times the area of the existing building(s) shall not comply with this section.

Q103.2.1 Compliance for building reuse. Construction documents shall clearly distinguish the square footage for existing and new elements. Documentation for building reuse shall include the information listed in items (a) through (e) below:

- (a) Gross area of existing building(s) in square feet;
- (b) Gross area of the aggregate addition(s) in square feet (if applicable);
- (c) Gross area of the *alteration* and/or *substantial improvement* in square feet (if applicable);
- (d) Existing total floor area and retained total floor area of the *primary structural frame* of the *existing* building(s) in square feet; and
- (e) Existing total exterior wall and fenestration surface area and total retained exterior wall and fenestration surface area of the existing building(s) in square feet, as well as areas excluded from the calculations per Q103.2.

Q103.3 Product compliance pathway. The *global warming potential* of the total mass or volume of the covered products used in the project that are listed in Section Q103.3.1 shall total no more than [INSERT 100, 120, OR 175] percent of the sum of the applicable values from Table Q103.3.1, for the same total mass or volume of the covered products. This calculation shall include project-specific product quantities and *product-specific EPDs*, be averaged across the entire project based on mass or volume, and be submitted on a form provided by the State Building Code Council. A *product-specific EPD* may combine varying levels of manufacturing specificity and may be covered across multiple facility locations. Where a *product-specific EPD* is not available for a building product, an *industry-average EPD* shall be permitted.

Q103.3.1 Covered products. Covered products shall include no less than 90 percent of the total combined mass or volume of all product(s) used in the building project that are included in Table Q103.3.1 below.

TABLE Q103.3.1 COVERED PRODUCT GWP VALUES

COVE	ERED PRODUCT	GLOBAL WARMING POTENTIAL	UNIT OF MEASUREMENT
Ready mix	<u>Up to 2,499 psi</u>	<u>235</u>	$kg CO_2e/m^3$
concrete	2,500-3,999 psi	<u>261</u>	$kg CO_2e/m^3$
<u>products</u>	4,000-4,999 psi	<u>316</u>	$kg CO_2e/m^3$
	5,000-5,999 psi	<u>386</u>	$kg CO_2e/m^3$
	6,000-7,999 psi	408	kg CO ₂ e/m ³
	8,000 psi and greater	<u>487</u>	$kg CO_2 e/m^3$

	Trans.	T # 1 0	1 00 / 2	
	Lightweight,	<u>518</u>	$kg CO_2e/m^3$	
	<u>up to 3,999 psi</u>			
	<u>Lightweight</u> ,	<u>575</u>	$kg CO_2e/m^3$	
	4,000-4,999 psi			
	Lightweight,	<u>632</u>	$kg CO_2 e/m^3$	
	5,000 psi and greater			
<u>Concrete</u>	Normal weight, up to	<u>208</u>	$kg CO_2e/m^3$	
<u>masonry</u>	3,249 psi			
<u>unit</u>	Normal weight, 3,250-	<u>232</u>	$kg CO_2e/m^3$	
<u>products</u>	4,499 psi			
	Normal weight, 4,500 psi	<u>241</u>	$kg CO_2e/m^3$	
	and greater			
	Medium weight, up to	<u>360</u>	$kg CO_2e/m^3$	
	3,249 psi			
	Medium weight, 3,250 psi	<u>244</u>	$kg CO_2e/m^3$	
	and greater			
	Lightweight, up to 3,249	395	$kg CO_2e/m^3$	
	psi			
	Lightweight, 3,250 psi and	286	kg CO ₂ e/m ³	
	greater			
Reinforcing	Rebar – unfabricated	753	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton	
steel	Rebar – fabricated	<u>854</u>	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton	
products		<u> </u>	<u>g</u>	
Structural	Hot-rolled sections –	1,000	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton	
steel	unfabricated		<u>g</u>	
products Hot-rolled sections – fabricated		1,220	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton	
		<u>1,== 0</u>	<u>ng c s 29 mouris tom</u>	
	Hollow structural sections	1,710	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton	
	- unfabricated	1,710	ing objection	
	Hollow structural sections	1,990	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton	
	- fabricated	1,550	kg CO20/metre ton	
	Decking	2,320	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton	
	Plate – unfabricated	1,480	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton	
	Plate – fabricated	1,730	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton	
Ctmvatuma1	<u>Laminated veneer lumber</u>	361		
Structural			$\frac{\text{kg CO}_2\text{e/m}^3}{\text{kg CO}_2 \text{e/m}^3}$	
wood	<u>Laminated strand lumber</u>	275	$\frac{\text{kg CO}_2\text{e/m}^3}{1 + \frac{1}{2} $	
<u>products</u>	Glue laminated timber	137	$\frac{\text{kg CO}_2\text{e/m}^3}{1 + \frac{1}{2} $	
	Wood framing	63	$\frac{\text{kg CO}_2\text{e/m}^3}{1 + \frac{1}{2} $	
	Softwood plywood	219	$\frac{\text{kg CO}_2\text{e/m}^3}{\text{constant}^3}$	
	Oriented Strand Board	<u>242</u>	$kg CO_2e/m^3$	
	(OSB)	1	1 2 0 = :	
Insulation	Expanded polystyrene	<u>2.67</u>	<u>1 m² @ RSI-1</u>	
<u>products</u>	(EPS)			
	Polyiso - wall	4.19	<u>1 m² @ RSI-1</u>	
	<u>Polyiso – roof – GRF facer</u>	<u>2.20</u>	<u>1 m² @ RSI-1</u>	
	<u>Polyiso – roof – CFG facer</u>	<u>3.04</u>	<u>1 m² @ RSI-1</u>	
	Extruded polystyrene	<u>41</u>	1 m ² @ RSI-1	
	(XPS)			
	Heavy density mineral	8.35	1 m ² @ RSI-1	
	wool board			
	Mineral wool blanket	3.33	1 m ² @ RSI-1	

	Closed-cell spray	<u>12.1</u>	<u>1 m² @ RSI-1</u>	
	<u>polyurethane foam – </u>			
	medium density			
	Closed-cell spray	<u>15.5</u>	1 m ² @ RSI-1	
	polyurethane foam -			
	roofing			
	Closed-cell spray	<u>19.7</u>	1 m ² @ RSI-1	
	polyurethane foam - 2K-			
	<u>LP</u>			
	Open-cell spray	1.6	1 m ² @ RSI-1	
	polyurethane foam			
	Loose-fill cellulose	0.49	<u>1 m² @ RSI-1</u>	
	Loose-fill mineral wool	1.56	1 m ² @ RSI-1	
1. The GWP values in the table represent industry-average values based on the 2023 Carbon				
Leadership	Forum (CLF) North American Mate	rial Baselines Report.		

Informative note

Q103.4 Whole building pathway. Provide a whole building life cycle assessment, complying with this section, and in accordance with ISO 14040 and ISO 14044, for the proposed building.

Q103.4.1 Reduction Requirement. Comply with either Q103.4.1.1 or Q103.4.1.2.

Q103.4.1.1 Absolute reduction requirement. The *global warming potential* of the proposed building shall be no more than 90 percent of 1,102 lbCO₂e/square feet (500 kgCO₂e/m²).

Q103.4.1.2 Relative reduction requirement. The *global warming potential* of the proposed building shall be no more than 90 percent of the *global warming potential* of a functionally equivalent reference building, where calculated using a whole building life cycle assessment in compliance with Q103.4.2 through Q103.4.5 and performed in accordance with ISO 14040 and ISO 14044.

Q103.4.1.2.1 Reference building. The reference building shall be of the same size, geographic location, and thermal performance as the proposed building. The products and product quantities in the proposed building and the reference building may vary, provided that the buildings are functionally equivalent per ASTM E2921-22.

Q103.4.2 Software and data quality. Software used to conduct a whole building life cycle assessment shall conform to ISO 21931—1 and/or EN 15978 and shall have a data set compliant with ISO 14044 and ISO 21930 and/or EN 15804. The software shall utilize calculation methodology that is compliant with EN 15978, ISO 21931—1 and ISO 21929—1. Environmental impact data shall not be sourced from expired or retired data sources.

Q103.4.3 Life cycle stages. The whole building life cycle assessment shall be cradle-to-grave in scope, including all modules in life cycle stages A, B, and C, except for operating energy and water stages (B6 and B7).

Q103.4.4 Building elements. The whole building life cycle assessment shall include all of the following building elements: foundations; exterior wall envelope; primary structural frame; secondary structural members; roof covering; roof deck; fenestration; load-bearing walls; and insulation. The whole building life cycle assessment may also include non-load-bearing walls; fireproofing; interior constructions and interior finishes. A whole building life cycle assessment submitted for an addition, alteration, or substantial improvement may exclude existing and/or remaining building components.

Q103.4.5 Reference study period. The reference study period shall be 60 years.

Section Q104

Verification and amended documentation of reduction of Embodied Carbon

Q104.1 Registered design professional. The Registered Design Professional shall provide signature verifying compliance with the requirements of Section Q103.2, Q103.3, or Q103.4.

Q104.2 Amended submittals for reduction of Embodied Carbon. *Embodied Carbon* documentation that is submitted per Section Q103.3 or Section Q103.4 shall be updated before the issuance of a certificate of occupancy based on EPD data from procured products.

Q105

Referenced Standards

Q105.1 General. See Table Q105.1 for standards that are referenced in various sections of this appendix. Standards are listed by the standard identification with the effective date, standard title, and the section or sections of this appendix that reference the standard.

TABLE Q105.1 REFERENCED STANDARDS

Standard Acronym	Standard Name	Sections Herein Referenced
<u>ASTM E2921—2022</u>	Standard Practice for Minimum	<u>Q103.4.1.2.1</u>
	Criteria for Comparing Whole	
	Building Life Cycle Assessments for	
	Use with Building Codes, Standards,	
	and Rating Systems	
EN 15804—2022	Sustainability of construction works	<u>Q103.4.3</u>
	<u>– Environmental product</u>	
	<u>declarations – Core rules for the</u>	
	product category of construction	
	<u>products</u>	
EN 15978—2011	Sustainability of construction works	<u>Q103.4.3</u>
	 Assessment of environmental 	
	<u>performance of buildings –</u>	
	<u>Calculation method</u>	
<u>ISO 14040—2006</u>	Environmental management – Life	Q103.4, Q103.4.1
	<u>cycle assessment – Principles and</u>	
	<u>framework</u>	
<u>ISO 14044—2006</u>	Environmental management – Life	Q103.4, Q103.4.1, Q103.4.2
	<u>cycle assessment – Requirements</u>	
777 7477 4 7744	and guidelines	2122.1.2
<u>ISO 21929-1—2011</u>	Sustainability in building	<u>Q103.4.3</u>
	<u>construction – Sustainability</u>	
	indicators – Part 1: Framework for	
	the development of indicators and a	
700 01000 0015	core set of indicators for buildings	0.100.4.0
<u>ISO 21930—2017</u>	Sustainability in buildings and civil	<u>Q103.4.3</u>
	engineering works – Core rules for	
	environmental product declarations	
	of construction products and	
IGO 21021 1 2022	<u>services</u>	0102.4.2
<u>ISO 21931-1—2022</u>	Sustainability in buildings and civil	Q103.4.3
	engineering works – Framework for	
	methods of assessment of	

environmental, social and economic	
performance of construction works	
as a basis for sustainability	
assessment – Part 1: Buildings	

Sample SBCC Form for Section Q103.3 Product Compliance Pathway

COVERED PRODUCT		INDUSTRY- AVERAGE GWP	UNIT	PROJECT- SPECIFIC VOLUME OR MASS	GWP PER PRODUCT- SPECIFIC EPD	REDUCTION, BY VOLUME OR MASS
Ready mix	Up to 2,499 psi	235	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
concrete	2,500-3,999 psi	261	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
products	4,000-4,999 psi	316	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
•	5,000-5,999 psi	386	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
	6,000-7,999 psi	408	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
	8,000 psi and greater	487	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
	Lightweight, up to 3,999 psi	518	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
	Lightweight, 4,000-4,999 psi	575	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
	Lightweight, 5,000 psi and greater	632	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
Concrete masonry unit	Normal weight, up to 3,249 psi	208	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
products	Normal weight, 3,250-4,499 psi	232	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
	Normal weight, 4,500 psi and greater	241	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
	Medium weight, up to 3,249 psi	360	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
	Medium weight, 3,250 psi and greater	244	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
	Lightweight, up to 3,249 psi	395	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
	Lightweight, 3,250 psi and greater	286	kg CO ₂ e/m ³			
Reinforcing steel products	Rebar – unfabricated	753	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton			
•	Rebar – fabricated	854	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton			
Structural steel products	Hot-rolled sections – unfabricated	1,000	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton			
	Hot-rolled sections – fabricated	1,220	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton			
	Hollow structural sections – unfabricated	1,710	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton			
	Hollow structural sections – fabricated	1,990	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton			
	Decking	2,320	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton			
	Plate – unfabricated	1,480	kg CO ₂ e/metric ton			

	D1 :	1.720	1,		1
	Plate –	1,730	kg		
	fabricated		CO ₂ e/metric		
C+ + 1	T 1 1	261	ton		
Structural wood	Laminated	361	kg CO ₂ e/m ³		
products	veneer lumber Laminated	2745	kg CO ₂ e/m ³		
products	strand lumber	2743	kg CO ₂ e/m ³		
	Glue laminated	137	kg CO ₂ e/m ³		
	timber	137	kg CO2C/III		
	Wood framing	63	kg CO ₂ e/m ³		
	Softwood	219	kg CO ₂ e/m ³		
	plywood		ng 0 0 2 0 / m		
	Oriented	242	kg CO ₂ e/m ³		
	Strand Board				
	(OSB)				
Insulation	Expanded	2.67	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
products	polystyrene				
	(EPS)				
	Polyiso - wall	4.19	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
	Polyiso – roof	2.20	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
	- GRF facer		2		
	Polyiso – roof	3.04	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
	- CFG facer		1 20 001		
	Extruded	41	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
	polystyrene (XPS)				
	Heavy density	8.35	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
	mineral wool	6.55	1 III @ K51-1		
	board				
	Mineral wool	3.33	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
	blanket	3.00	1 111 @ 1151 1		
	Fiberglass	N/A	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
	blanket				
	Closed-cell	12.1	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
	spray				
	polyurethane				
	foam –				
	medium				
	density	15.5	1 2 O DOL 1		
	Closed-cell	15.5	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
	spray				
	polyurethane foam - roofing				
	Closed-cell	19.7	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
	spray	15.7	T III (W) REF T		
	polyurethane				
	foam - 2K-LP				
	Open-cell	1.6	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
	spray				
	polyurethane				
	foam				
	Loose-fill	0.487	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
	cellulose	1.50	1 2 O DOI 1		
	Loose-fill	1.56	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
	mineral wool Loose-fill	NI/A	1 m ² @ RSI-1		
	fiberglass	N/A	1 III- (W KSI-1		
Total	Hoeigiass				
1 Utal					
				 	L

5. Briefly explain your proposed amendment, including the purpose, benefits and problems addressed.

Summary of Requirements and Purpose

This proposal adds a new appendix that, when adopted by a jurisdiction, would require reporting on the embodied carbon emissions associated with proposed projects over 50,000 or 100,000 square feet. Project teams adhering to this appendix must choose one form of reporting from the following three options:

- (1) submit proof of reuse of at least 45% of an existing building's structure and enclosure;
- (2) submit product-specific environmental product declarations (EPDs) for covered products that meet a certain percentage (as determined by the adopting jurisdiction) of the industry average, reported in global warming potential (GWP).
- (3) submit a whole building life cycle assessment (WBLCA) for the building that indicates a 10% reduction in global warming potential (GWP) compared to industry average.

This code change proposal would support Washington's goal to reduce its greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 95% by 2050. In its 2021 State Energy Strategy, the Washington State Department of Commerce identified the reduction of embodied carbon in the built environment as a key strategy for reaching the state's 2050 goal. The state's recent House Bill (HB) 1282 took a major step toward addressing this need by establishing a buy clean and buy fair policy that requires reporting on the embodied carbon of concrete, steel, and wood used in projects funded by state agencies over 100,000 square feet beginning in 2025.

This proposal builds on the strong foundation and clear direction that Washington state has set for reducing the embodied carbon associated with building materials. The state's buy clean strategies – intended to increase demand for low embodied carbon products, spur EPD development, and build market awareness of GWP reporting methods – are, however, incomplete without these building code provisions. A code-based approach has the unique ability to directly influence the design and construction practices demonstrated by building projects across the state.

Problem and Opportunity

Building operations and building construction are responsible for 39% of today's annual global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. About 11% of these emissions are embodied carbon emissions – the emissions associated with the creation of building materials and construction activities. The largest contributors tend to be found in buildings' structures and envelopes, which typically include materials such as concrete, steel, and wood.

The need to confront and reduce embodied carbon is urgent. The IPCC reports that limiting warming to the target set by the Paris Agreement – and avoiding the worst-case impacts of the climate crisis – is contingent on GHG emissions peaking by 2025 at the latest and reducing them by 43% by 2030.

Historically, policies that have targeted the reduction of the built environment's climate impact have focused on the operations associated with buildings' uses: the amount of pollution generated by fuel consumption from mechanical systems used to heat, cool, or light a building. While this focus has been critical, it has not accounted for the full scope of buildings' climate impacts. Additionally, as clean energy policy and efficiency standards and practices ratchet down operational carbon emissions, embodied carbon will continue to become a larger share of buildings' carbon footprint.

Doing justice to the urgency presented by climate change requires a focus on the embodied emissions associated with the early phases of buildings' construction and materials. Unlike operational emissions, which can be improved over the lifespan of a building through deep-energy retrofits and decarbonizing the electric grid,

embodied carbon emissions occur before a building is occupied and cannot be reduced over time. A joint University of Washington and University of California, Berkeley study found that, on average, 80% of a building's embodied carbon impacts over its lifetime takes place in the phases leading up to a building's completion before occupancy. Therefore, addressing embodied carbon in the construction of buildings presents an urgent and valuable opportunity to reduce carbon emissions in Washington. Code-based policies thus hold critical potential to address this bulk of emissions, as they impact decisions made early during the design process, which directly and most substantially influence early production and construction activities. Prioritizing these immediate emissions will help to stop the accumulation of GHGs in the atmosphere, improving the likelihood that the world – and Washington – will reach their GHG peaks sooner.

Finally, this code proposal holds the potential to safeguard the public from the hazards associated with the creation of building materials. The International Building Code (IBC) has been in place and used by the design and construction industry to ensure that materials in the built environment preserve public health, safety and welfare. This proposal looks to expand the impact of the IBC to further safeguard the public from the hazards associated with the creation of building materials. This entails reducing emissions in the extraction, manufacturing, and transportation of these products, which can improve air quality and public health in communities located near industrial centers and manufacturing facilities.

Methodology and Reasoning

The materials and building elements that fall within the scope of this proposal were chosen because they are accountable for significant GHG emissions throughout their production phases – at the building level, this means the structure and enclosure; at the material level, this means concrete, steel, and wood.

Three compliance pathways were included to provide project teams the flexibility to choose an option that is most suitable and accessible for its unique circumstances. These pathways are also based in precedent, drawing from California's statewide building code, CALGreen, the latest version of which is now in effect.

Pathway Option 1: Building reuse is incentivized by exempting reuse projects from the reduction and reporting requirements of the other pathways. The aim of including this pathway is to amplify the significant role that building reuse can play in lowering the state's embodied carbon associated with its construction activities. A 2011 study by Preservation Green Lab, Skanska, Green Building Services, and others found that reuse of a variety of building types could realize between 4 and 46 percent embodied carbon savings compared to new construction operating at an equivalent energy performance level. Moreover, it can take between 10 and 80 years for new buildings designed with energy efficiency features to overcome the environmental impacts associated with the construction process. Scaling the practice of reuse across a state's building stock can realize significant reductions.

<u>Pathway Options 2 and 3</u>: The reduction requirements proposed for the next two pathways are also based in precedent and widely regarded as an easily achievable value for most projects. These requirements would encourage the highest-emitting designs, materials and manufacturers to reduce the carbon content of their systems and materials to be more competitive in the market.

<u>Pathway Option 2</u>: The second pathway option in the proposal takes a materials-based approach, requiring EPD submission and reporting of GWP across 90% of covered materials compared to industry average values. The proposed draft provides jurisdictions with the choice to set their GWP thresholds at 100%, 120%, or 175% of

¹ Patrice Frey, Liz Dunn, and Ric Cochran, "The Greenest Building: Quantifying the Environmental Value of Building Reuse" (National Trust for Historic Preservation Green Lab, 2022), https://living-future.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/The_Greenest_Building.pdf.

the industry average values provided in the table. These industry averages are drawn from the Carbon Leadership Forum's 2023 material baselines. Limits have similarly been passed in other jurisdictions including California; New York State; Toronto; Marin, CA; Santa Monica, CA; and the Denver Green Code. The U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) has also made strides in setting materials-based GWP limits for concrete and cement; asphalt; steel; and glass. The state of Washington has also begun to chart its own course by requiring reporting for certain materials through the Buy Clean and Buy Fair Act.

<u>Pathway Option 3</u>: The third pathway option takes a building-level approach, requiring the submission of a whole building life cycle assessment that indicates a 10% reduction compared to a reference building based on industry average values or based on 500 kgCO₂e/m². Other jurisdictions that have adopted this building-level approach include California, Minnesota, Toronto, and Vancouver. The 10% reduction required in the whole building life cycle assessment pathway falls within range of these precedents and others, including LEED v4.1, ASHRAE 189.1, and San Francisco's Municipal Green Building Requirements.

Determination of Compliance

The proposed appendix aims to provide a clear and simple path for code officials to determine compliance at two points along the project timeline: at the initial submission of construction documents and at the subsequent submission of amended construction documents. The role of the code official is to check for the submission of required documentation, confirm that requirements were met, and verify that the registered design professional has signed off on meeting these provisions. These efforts that fall on the design professional as well as the code official are anticipated to require minimal effort.

6.	Specify what criteria this proposal meets.
	☐ The amendment is needed to address a critical life/safety need.
	The amendment clarifies the intent or application of the code.
	The amendment is needed to address a specific state policy or statute.
	☐ The amendment is needed for consistency with state or federal regulations.
	☐ The amendment is needed to address a unique character of the state.
	☐ The amendment corrects errors and omissions.
7.	Is there an economic impact: Yes No

If no, state reason:

The legislature has mandated through HB 1282 that the embodied carbon associated with building materials be addressed; this code proposal carries out that mandate. Therefore, any responsibility to provide a robust measure of economic impact would reside with the legislature.

However, it is anticipated that the economic impact of this proposal will be insignificant.

Costs to Design Teams

A project's embodied carbon can be significantly reduced at little to no additional up-front cost.

Case studies in the Pacific Northwest have shown an embodied carbon savings potential of 19%–46% at cost premiums of less than 1%. These reductions are achievable simply by specifying and substituting material

² Rebecca Esau, Matt Jungclaus, Victor Olgyay, and Audrey Rempher, "Reducing Embodied Carbon in Buildings: Low-Cost, High-Value Opportunities" (RMI, 2021), https://rmi.org/wp-content/uploads/dlm_uploads/2021/08/Embodied_Carbon_full_report.pdf

alternatives with lower embodied carbon during the design and specification process. Reductions that go well beyond 50% are possible when an early, whole-building design view is considered.

These cases demonstrate that there are products and solutions available today that can realize embodied carbon reductions with low to no financial burden. In the future, these costs are only anticipated to decrease, and ultimately result in additional cost savings, as the production of low embodied carbon materials, the practice of conducting a whole building life cycle assessment, and pursuing building reuse scale up and the cost of low embodied carbon materials goes down as a result of increased practice and demand.

Costs of Code Enforcement

A study published for CALGreen's 2022 embodied carbon requirements, which includes similar reuse, materials-based, and building-level pathway requirements as this proposal, determined that there was a minor increase of costs to local governments to review and check plans for compliance with one of the three pathways.³ There is no major fiscal impact on local governments to enforce the regulation: local governments would only need to verify results provided by applicants, in a standardized manner, to ensure compliance with the proposed pathways.

Costs to Manufacturers and Suppliers

Material manufacturers can face costs associated with the production of EPDs. However, Washington product manufacturers will not need to incur these costs alone. The Federal Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 allocates \$250,000,000 for the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to administer an EPD assistance program supporting projects that improve the transparency and disclosure of embodied carbon emissions data in the US across all construction materials. Washington, in partnership with Oregon and the International Code Council, was recently awarded \$3,500,000 through this grant to assist Pacific Northwest manufacturers with generating EPDs for concrete, asphalt, steel, wood, and other products with few to no EPDs.⁴

Furthermore, many of the products that fall within the scope of this proposal are already covered by Washington's Buy Clean and Buy Fair Act. For the most part, manufacturers and suppliers will not experience any additional burden due to this proposal, because they are either already required to produce EPDs by state law or have already incurred the upfront costs of producing them. Product manufacturers and suppliers that do not yet have EPDs may see a small financial impact from the development of EPDs for their products, but a study by Energy Transitions Commission showed that the company pass-through cost to individual projects to create the initial \$5-30K EPD is negligible. With the anticipated inflow of federal funding to help absorb these costs, these costs will likely have even less impact on manufacturers.

³ "Economic and Fiscal Impact Statement (Form 399) Attachment C – CCRC regulations 45day" (California Department of General Services, 2022), https://www.dgs.ca.gov/-/media/Divisions/BSC/03-Rulemaking/2022-Intervening-Cycle/Public-Comments/GREEN-45-Day/BSC/BSC-04-22-399-PT11-Attachment-C-R1-

⁴⁵day.pdf?la=en&hash=E1121CBF2FEA6D07492DCD1E962D8AA1AFC43618

⁴ US Environmental Protection Agency, "Summaries of the FY 23-24 IRA 60122 Grant Selections: Reducing Embodied Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Construction Materials and Products," accessed August 18,

^{2024,} https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2024-07/2024-epd-grant-summaries-ira-60112-final-7.15.24.pdf.

⁵ Mission Possible: Reaching Net-Zero Carbon Emissions From Harder-to-Abate Sectors by Mid-Century, Energy Transitions Commission, 2018.